arXiv:astro-ph/9801287v2 7 Apr 1998

High Redshift Radio Galaxies at Low Redshift, and Some Other Issues

Robert Antonucci

Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106

1 Cygnus A

Cygnus A is the only high redshift radio galaxy at low redshift, that is it's the only nearby object with radio power in the range of the high redshift 3C objects. It is clear now that this is somewhat misleading in that Cyg A is an overachiever in the radio, and that its actual bolometric luminosity is much more modest than this would indicate. (This point has been explored and generalized in Barthel and Arnaud 1996; also see Carilli and Barthel 1996 for a detailed review of Cyg A). But the energy content of the lobes is famously large.

There is a whole history of attempts to show that Cygnus A fits the Unified Model, and our particular contribution was detecting an apparent broad MgII line with the HST (Antonucci, Kinney and Hurt 1994, which includes references to previous work). The spectral SNR was less that amazing; furthermore an unflagged dead diode took out $\sim 12\mathring{A}$ from the line profile; and there was an uncertain "noise" contribution from confusing narrow lines (gory details in Antonucci 1994). One of the referees of our paper - the favorable one - stated that "only a mother could love that line." Thus we reobserved it with somewhat better SNR and with the bad diode flagged, and the old and new data are presented to the same scale in Fig 1. Most of the bins are within the combined 1 σ statistical errors, and the many statistically significant wiggles are almost all present in NGC1068 as well (Antonucci, Hurt and Miller 1994). The point is that the errors are believable, and that the continuum should be set *low*. I believe the MgII line is there and is broader than we thought originally. (A detailed discussion of the spectrum is in prep.)

Figure 1.

In the 1994 paper we also stated that the polarization in the UV (F320W FOC filter) is $\sim 6\%$, and perpendicular to the radio axis, indicating that there is a fairly large contribution from scattered light from a quasar in this region. This is consistent with the scenario of Jackson and Tadhunter 1993, among others. Using the mighty Keck it has finally become possible to show the broad H alpha line in polarized flux, and it is extremely broad ($\sim 26,000$ km/sec! - Ogle et al 1997). Ogle et al compared the total broad H alpha and MgII fluxes in the SE component, corrected for Galactic reddening, and concluded that dust scattering must be important. (Specifically it would have to produce most of the broad MgII.) This was also our picture in the 1994 paper (and that of other workers). Caveats include aperture effects and velocity ranges for integration of the line fluxes, but the conclusion is likely to stand.

2 Statistics of the 3CR, Unification, Possible Nonthermal AGN, and the Role of the Mid-IR

Part of the Unified Model is the proposition that quasars and broad line radio galaxies differ from narrow line radio galaxies only in orientation of the dusty tori with respect to the line of sight. As explained by Barthel (1989) this idea delightfully solves the statistical paradoxes that arise in explaining radio data for radio quasars and blazars alone. The space densities and projected linear sizes of 3CR objects in the redshift range $0.5 < Z < 1.0$ fit well with a simple model in which those with torus axes within roughly 45 degrees of the line of sight correspond to the quasars, and the others to the (narrow line) radio

galaxies. The same is true for 3CR objects at $Z > 1$ (e.g. Singal 1993).[1]

But as Singal and other have pointed out (see his Figs 1 and 2), the statistics go disastrously awry for the $Z < 0.5$ objects. There are too many radio galaxies per quasar, and the projected linear size difference vanishes in the median cases.

Figure 2.

What is going on with the low redshift objects? In many cases bipolar reflection nebulae are seen around low redshift radio galaxies (more HST UV polarization images in press by Hurt et al 1998). There is also a growing list with demonstrated Type 1 spectra in polarized flux; this partially overlaps the list with the reflection nebulae. So there is no doubt that this aspect of the Unified Model is correct for some of them. The simplest explanation of what may be going wrong with the samples as a whole is that there is an additional population of radio galaxies at these lower redshifts and luminosities which does not participate, i.e. does not contain hidden quasars. It has been speculated that these are the "optically dull" ones, without visible luminous high ionization narrow line regions (e.g. Laing 1994; Barthel 1994). I'm sure someone has plotted the narrow line luminosity vs radio size: if you are out there please tell me the result.

The low Z statistics have often been presented as a counterargument to generality of the quasar/radio galaxy unification.[2] Recently however Gopal Krishna

[1]Pat McCarthy presented data on the large Molonglo sample, which has lower luminosity than the 3CR, albeit by a modest factor. Their data show large spreads in size, so he argues that they neither expect nor detect a difference in projected linear sizes for radio galaxies vs quasars. I'd like to think (for simplicity) that the lower luminosity of the Molonglo sources is key, and Pat acknowledges that at the very high luminosity end there is some difference in the sizes in the expected sense, though it may not be significant. He wondered whether the significance of the offsets is really high in the $Z > 0.5$ objects, noting that differences in cumulative distributions can look more significant than they are. It's a good lesson, and my K-S test by ruler indicates that the difference in Singal's histogram is good at the 97.5% confidence level for $Z > 1$, but is not significant for $0.5 < Z < 1.0$

[2]Another apparent argument for some nonparticipating low-Z radio galaxies is the weaker

et al 1996 have very cleverly argued that the data are actually as expected. They assume a torus opening angle increasing with luminosity, and some properties of radio source evolution taken from independent radio astronomy folklore.

I do not have a strong opinion on which explanation of the 3CR statistics is correct, but I do understand that this is of great importance physically. A radio galaxy without the ostensibly thermal big blue bump and broad line region in the optical/UV would constitute a "nonthermal AGN." This was the popular explanation for radio galaxies before the Unified Model removed the compelling observational justification (e.g. Begelman, Blandford and Rees 1984). Some years ago I observed many of these objects with the Lick spectropolarimeter, with little success in finding hidden quasars. Of course a suitable mirror need not be present in all cases. Thus I am convinced that the robust test is a search for the waste heat inevitably accompanying this configuration. Hopefully the several ISO programs on the 3CR will shed light on this.

The place to look for the waste heat is in the mid IR ($10 - 20\mu$). Starburst emission isn't strong in this region; emission from nuclear dust tori *is* strong there, and also penetrating. It is viable to do this study at Keck and we have started taking such data. The sensitivity is lower than that of ISO but the diffraction-limited images provide additional information. Also we can select targets to give maximum leverage on this problem. Here I show an observation from our first night, Aug 8 1996, taken with the "LWS" camera in collaboration with R. Puetter and B. Jones. To our great surprise we see *two* point sources; since the only obvious type of powerful compact 12μ source is a dusty torus, there may be two of them! Of course further observations will be needed to test the thermal interpretation.

3 Long Slit Spectropolarimetry at Keck: Radio Galaxies, BALs and a Red Quasar

I participated in this project but it was initiated by Wil van Breugel and the real work was done mostly by Andrea Cimatti, Arjun Dey, and Mike Brotherton. Our goals were to test and elucidate the Unified Model for the aligned radio galaxies at high redshift, and to learn something about their stellar populations

average IRAS far IR fluxes found by Heckman et al 1992, 1994; however, acting on a hunch of Charlie Lawrence's, we have confirmed with mm data that many of the quasars in those samples, and few of the radio galaxies, have contamination in the far IR from the radio synchrotron core (Lawrence et al, in prep). (Also see Hoekstra, Barthel and Hes 1997 and Hes, Barthel and Fosbury 1996 for additional detailed arguments.)

and surrounding gaseous environments. We also looked at some related objects as noted in the section title.

First we observed some galaxies at $Z \sim 1$ which were known to be polarized; then, motivated in part by the desire to see the polarization behavior at shorter rest wavelengths, we moved on to some $Z \sim 4$ objects without previous polarization data. Finally we examined a couple of BALs from the FIRST radio survey, and also a "Red Quasar."

3.1 3C324 at Z = 1.2, described in Cimatti et al 1996

This aligned radio galaxy is $\sim$ 5" long, with lots of structure in the rest UV HST images, but a relaxed morphology in the rest optical (Longair, Best and Rottgering 1995; Dickenson, Dey and Spinrad 1995). We found a power law spectrum with index ~ -2.0 in the rest range $\sim 1600\mathring{A} - 4200\mathring{A}$, a wavelength-independent 11% polarization perpendicular to the optical/radio axis, and hence a polarized flux spectral index also of -2.0. The scattered light extends over several arcsec. No stellar features were found but the data do not extend to the wavelengths of the strong UV lines.

The wavelength independence of P and the (admittedly steep) power law spectrum of PxF suggest electrons as scattering particles, but a large HII mass would be required, and the (pretty solid) detection of broad MgII in PxF constrains the temperature to be $< 10^6$K. Dust scattering is a much more efficient scatterer per gram of total mass in the Milky Way, but its percent polarization of the scattered light is generally lower than for electrons. More importantly, it is difficult to produce a constant polarization and a power law in polarized flux without invoking somewhat fine-tuned comingled reddening. See Wills and Hines 1997; Hines and Schmidt 1997 for data on many dust-scattered and reddened objects. The required fine-tuning would also have to account for the scattering phase function, which is highly wavelength- dependent in the UV. (See models of e.g. Manzini and di Serego Alighieri 1996; Kartje 1995). On the other hand a wavelength-independent cross section could be produced intrinsically perhaps by large grains; however this reduces the cross section per unit mass. The dust fraction may also be lower tens of kpc from a galaxy at high redshift, compared with the local ISM. As Sandage and Visvanathan once said about M82, our observations have made the object a mystery to us.

3.2 3C265 at Z = 1.8, described by Dey et al 1996

This case is somewhat similar to 3C324: a ~ 5" rest UV extent, 11% polarization independent of wavelength, and power-law total and polarized flux, but here with spectral index -1.1. (The total flux also shows a very broad absorption line shortward of CIV and quite detached from it!) Assuming this is Thomsom-scattered light from a hidden quasar which is like the similar lobe-dominant ones at this redshift in the 3CR catalog (i.e. the Unified Model scenario), we estimated $\sim 2 \times 10^{11}$Mo in HII would be required in the scattering "cone" alone. The SNR is inadequate to check for polarized broad emission lines, so the optical data do not preclude very hot gas; however such gas would overpredict the Rosat flux (see Dey et al 1996). Figure 9 in our paper shows the comparison of the total flux spectrum from $1500\mathring{A}$ to $3100\mathring{A}$ to that of NGC1068, considered to be electron scattered, and they are very similar, although it's possible that the FeII contribution is different and so we are being misled. Again all evidence points to electron scattering except plausibility - the great mass of warm gas couldn't be very long lived. It would also overpredict the recombination emission unless it is distributed very smoothly. Luckily P. Eisenhart et al have HST imaging polarimetry pending for this one.

3.3 3C13 at Z = 1.4 and 3C356 at Z = 1.1, described by Cimatti et al 1997

3C13 is faint but we can at least say it has $\sim 8\%$ polarization at the expected position angle. 3C356 is brighter, and is known for showing a strong alignment effect even in the rest optical (Eales and Rawlings 1990; Lacey and Rawlings 1994). The bright northern component has P increasing with frequency to $\sim 15\%$, again a power-law polarized flux distribution though this time with index -0.2, and a polarized MgII broad emission line. The Rosat data imply a massive cooling flow with the right electron scattering optical depth according to Crawford and Fabian 1996), but any very hot gas cannot contribute to the polarized MgII line.

3.4 4C41.17 at Z = 3.8, described by Dey et al 1998, and 7C1909+722 at Z = 3.6 (paper in prep)

At this point we were more interested in other aspects of the project than in the implications for Unification: Are there really massive clouds of warm (not hot) electrons? What about starlight? Thus we observed a couple at higher

redshift, where any stellar lines should be apparent, and where dust polarization and polarized flux should show a very strong wavelength dependence. The first target, 4C41.17, turned out to be unpolarized and to have strong stellar absorption lines. A spectacular HST images was shown at the meeting by van Breugel. Clearly in this case the alignment effect is due to starlight, and the star formation is truly prodigious, easily producing the stellar mass of a luminous galaxy in a dynamical time. There may be some scattered quasar light in the observed red region, where there is a possible broad CIII component and only poor polarization limits. If so the scattering doesn't rise rapidly at the shortest wavelengths, as expected for Galactic dust. The 7C galaxy in the section title was discovered by van Breugel and Hurt based on its steep radio spectrum, and is qualitatively similar to 4C41.17.

In a further attempt to test dust vs electrons as the scatterers, we applied unsuccessfully to get NICMOS time for some polarization images; however ground-based IR data, combined with optical data, can be constraining (Knopp and Chambers 1997).

3.5 3C68.1, a red quasar at Z = 1.2, described in Brotherton et al 1998

The "red quasars" have historically been puzzling, and seem to be a mix of objects with strong synchrotron components extending into the near IR, and highly reddened objects often with accompanying dust scattering. This one has a spectral index of -6 in the observed optical, and a lobe-dominant radio source with a weak synchrotron core. Indeed the optical light turns out to be a combination of a highly reddened direct view of a quasar with a moderately-reddened dust-scattered view of the same object. It is similar to the radio quiet object IRAS 13349+2438 (see Wills et al 1992).

3.6 BAL quasars from the FIRST radio survey, 0840+3633 and 1556+3517, described in Brotherton et al 1997

These are borderline radio loud BALs, discovered by Becker's group based on the deep VLA radio survey called FIRST. Interestingly, they are both low ionization, with strong FeII absorption. Past work, including extensive spectropolarimetry, has lead to a picture of BALs as nearly edge-on, borderline objects in the Unified Model, with no definite explanation for the lack of BALs among classical radio-loud objects. 0840+3633 fits the pattern to some extent previous observations: the polarization rises to 4% at 2000$\mathring{A}$, possibly diluted at longer wavelengths by

the small blue bump. The troughs are much more highly polarized, as usual, suggesting that the scattered light finds a path which partially avoids the broad absorption line clouds. However the magnitude and position angle withing the FeII* (excited) troughs shows a lot of complicated behavior, with some more polarized and some less polarized than the continuum!

The properties of 1556+3517 are really new: the continuum polarization rises with decreasing wavelength to 13% at 2000$\AA$. Unlike the other BALs it shows BLR polarizations consistent with that of the surrounding continuum, and *no* polarization in the FeII troughs! Perhaps all the nuclear and BLR light passes through the BAL clouds, and the unpolarized light in the troughs is starlight from the host galaxy, but this is just a guess.

Are BALs really nearly edge-on? One promising approach to this question has only lead to more difficulty. Radio spectral slopes are now available for many radio quiet AGN (Antonucci and Barvainis 1988, 1989; Barvainis, Lonsdale and Antonucci 1996)), and they are a mixture of types as for radio loud AGN. It has been argued recently that the flat ones are beamed versions of the steep ones (Falcke, Sherwood and Patnaik 1996). But the BALs are a rather even mixture of the two types, (Barvainis and Lonsdale 1997), showing that they are fairly isotropic, or else that we are really confused about the causes of the spectral slopes in radio quiet AGN. Perhaps with great difficulty radio axes can be obtained for some BALs; this would help in interpreting the polarization.

4 Nature of the Far-IR Based on Radio Quiet Quasars

Many detections of quasars and high redshift radio galaxies are being reported in the millimeter region of the spectrum. I'd like to review briefly what we know about the similar sources among radio quiet quasars and IRAS galaxies, which are analogous to these two classes. The subject is further along for the radio quiets.

First, of course, the field was galvanized by IRAS 10214+4724 at $Z = 2.3$, detected in the far IR and then in the millimeter continuum and various millimeter lines. Lensing may have been crucial for boosting the flux to observable levels (but see Scoville et al 1995). This famous object needs a name and I call it the Mother of All IRAS Galaxies, referring to a contemporaneous news event. Much of the line emission, and hence probably the dust, is very compact, though some is apparently resolved on $\sim$ 10kpc scales (Brown and vanden Bout 1991;

Solomon et al 1992a,b; Scoville et al 1995). High optical polarization was discovered by Lawrence et al 1992, and subsequent Keck spectropolarimetry showed that it is an obscured quasar (Goodrich et al 1992). In fact what we observe in the optical is mainly the lensed image of the scattering mirror. Two more "hyperluminous" IRAS galaxies have been reported and both are also hidden quasars revealed in the polarized flux spectra.

In 1992 we reported detection of the Cloverleaf ($Z = 2.6$, quad lensed, BAL) quasar at 350μ, 450μ, and 800μ in the observed frame (Barvainis, Antonucci and Coleman 1992). This was the first such observation that I know of, though Chini et al had already shown that many quasars must have steep spectra between the 100μ IRAS point and 1.3mm. The observations were the culmination of a five year struggle with the old Ukt14 bolometer at the JCMT; they would be trivial now with the Scuba bolometer array. Perhaps we shouldn't have bothered with Ukt14; in the immortal words of my former thesis advisor, "Why walk when the bus is coming?"

Our original goal was to see whether quasars follow the far IR/CO luminosity correlation as IRAS galaxies do, suggesting that the quasar far IR source is thermal, and testing the proposition that radio quiet quasars and IRAS galaxies differ only in orientation. They do follow that relation quite well (e.g. Alloin et al 1992). (Also the Cloverleaf and MOAIG have the same SED in the IR range, just diverging in the optical as expected (Barvainis et al 1995). Whenever one shows the far IR vs CO luminosity diagram, there is an opportunity to show also the one by Kennicutt (1990). He shows data for ordinary galaxies but extends the luminosity range by including a burning cigar, a Jeep Cherokee, the 1988 Yellowstone forest fire, Venus and the universe within the horizon. The correlation is very tight and convincing and there are no unplotted upper limits so it is definitely real.

Followup observations detected several transitions of CO, HCN and CI, including detailed high-resolution mapping of CO 7-6 which resolves the four images (Yun et al 1997; Alloin et al 1997; Kneib et al 1997). Modeling by Phil Maloney shows that the H2 mass is considerably less than indicated by the conversion factor for Galactic molecular clouds, as a result of high temperature and moderate optical depth. Phil has also inferred several times more mass in an HI region based in part on the CI lines. The CO source is only ~ 600 pc in radius, where we use the high resolution as well as the high flux afforded by the gravitational telescope. The data suggest a rotating disk, with dynamical mass of $\gtrsim 10^{10}$ Lo, consistent with that of the CO region. One interesting loose end however is that we have detected a large spatial extent for some of the 1.3mm continuum flux, visible with "5-point" observations with the 30-m IRAM Pico

Vileta dish. It remains to be determined what that is all about.

There is an interesting literature on the CO flux to H2 mass conversion factor. Various lines of reasoning lead to the conclusion that this conversion factor is low in IRAS galaxies (and quasars), as discussed in detail by Maloney 1990 and Solomon et al 1997 for example. A seeming proof was given by Shier, Rieke and Rieke 1994 for luminous IRAS galaxies: the conventionally deduced H2 masses exceed the estimated dynamical masses in that case. However it's not certain that the near-IR wavelengths used here were sufficiently penetrating. Moreover Scoville et al (1995) interpreted the same situation in the MOAIG differently: they proposed that the mass is real, but that the gas is supported partially by radiation pressure rather than centrifugal force! Detailed modeling using many transitions considerably reduces the uncertainties however and as noted the models for the Cloverleaf indicate a much lower than standard conversion factor for that object (Barvainis et al 1997).

Many more quasars, high-redshift IRAS galaxies, and radio galaxies are being detected in the mm and in the CO lines: e.g. the lens MG0414+0534 by our group (Barvainis et al 1998); 53w002 (Scoville et al 1998); BRI 1335-0415 at $Z = 4.4$ (Guilloteau et al 1997 preprint); and the $Z = 4.7$ quasar BR1202-0725 *and an otherwise invisible companion* (Ohta, Omont). It has been pointed out that the K-corrections in both continuum (Blain and Longair 1993) and rotational lines (Solomon, Radford and Downes 1992) are so sensitive to Z that more distant objects can be considerably brighter than less distant ones. (See also Barvainis' 1996 News and Views article.) Thus the big new dishes and arrays will open up the early universe to the study of a component which is an important part of the baryonic mass of forming galaxies.

References

Alloin, D., Guilloteau, S., Barvainis, R. Antonucci, R., and Tacconi, L. 1997, Astron Astrophys, 321, 24

Antonucci, R. 1994 Proc of the Oxford Torus Workshop, MJ Ward, ed. (Oxford: Oxford Astrophys Dept),

Antonucci, R., and Barvainis, R. 1988, ApJ, 332, L13

Antonucci, R., and Barvainis, R. 1989, ApJ Supp, 70, 257

Barvainis, R. 1996 Nature 382, 398

Barvainis, R., Alloin, D., Guilloteau, S., and Antonucci, R. 1998, ApJ, 492, L13

Barvainis, R., and Lonsdale, C. 1997, AJ, 113, 144

Barvainis, R., Lonsdale, C., and Antonucci, R. 1996, AJ, 111, 1431
Barthel, P.D. 1994 First Stromlo Symp, "Physics of Active Galaxies," GV Bicknell et al, eds (San Francisco: ASP Press)
Barthel, P.D., and Arnaud, K.A. 1996, MNRAS, 283, L45
Blain, A.W., and Longair, M.S. 1993, MNRAS, 264, 509
Brotherton, M.S., Tran, H.D., van Breugel, W., Dey, A., and Antonucci, R. 1997, ApJ, 487, L113
Brotherton, M.S., Wills, B.J., Dey, A., van Breugel, W., and Antonucci, R. 1988, ApJ, subm.
Brown, R.L., vanden Bout, P.A. 1991, AJ, 102, 1956
Carilli, C.L., and Barthel, P.D. 1996, Astron Astrophy, Rev 7, 1
Cimatti, A., Dey, A., van Breugel, W., Antonucci, R., and Spinrad, S. 1996 ApJ, 465, 145
Cimatti, A., Dey, A., van Breugel, W., Hurt, T., and Antonucci, R. 1997, ApJ, 476, 476
Crawford, C.S., and Fabian, A.C. 1996, MNRAS, 281, L5
Dey, A., Cimatti, A., van Breugel, W., Antonucci, R., and Spinrad, H. 1996, ApJ, 465, 157
Dey, A., van Breugel, W., Vacca, W.D., and Antonucci, R. 1997, ApJ, 490, 698
Dickenson, M., Dey, A., and Spinrad, H. 1995, in Galaxies in the Young Universe, ed. H. Hippelheim and K. Meisenheimer (Berlin: Springer-Verlag)
Eales, S.A., and Rawlings, S. 1990, MNRAS, 243, 1p
Falcke, H., Sherwood, W., and Patnaik, A. 1996, ApJ, 471, 106
Goodrich, R.W., Miller, J.S., Martel, A., Cohen, M.H., Tran, H.D., Ogle, P.M., and Vermeulen, R.C. 1996, ApJ, 456, L9
Heckman, T.M., Chambers, K.C., and Postman, M. 1992, ApJ, 391, 39
Heckman, T.M., O'Dea, C.P., Baum, S.A., and Lauikainen, E. 1994, ApJ, 428, 65
Hes, R., Barthel, P.D., and Fosbury, R.A.E. 1996, Astron Astrophys, 313, 423
Hines and Schmidt 1997, in Mass Ejection from Active Galactic Nuclei, ASP Conference Series, Vol. 128, Arav, Shlosman and Weymann, Eds., p. 59
Hoekstra, H., Barthel, P.D., and Hes, R. 1996, Astron Astrophys, 319, 757
Kartje, J.F. 1995, ApJ, 452, 565
Kneib, J-P, Alloin, D., Mellier, Y., Guilloteau, S., Barvainis, R., and Antonucci, R. 1998, Astron Astrophys, 329, 827
Knopp, G.P., and Chambers, K.C. 1997, ApJ, 487, 644
Lacey, M., and Rawlings, S. 1994, MNRAS, 270, 431
Laing, R 1994 First Stromlo Symp, "Physics of Active Galaxies," GV Bicknell

et al, eds (San Francisco: ASP Press)
Lawrence, A. et al 1992, MNRAS, 260, 28
Longair, M.S., Best, P.N., and Rottgering, H.J.A. 1995, MNRAS, 275, L47
Manzini, A., and di Serego Alighieri, S. 1996, A+A, 311, 79
Ohta, K., Yamada, T., Nakanishi, K., Kohno, K., Akiyama, M., and Kawabe, R. 1996, Nature, 382, 426
Omont, A., Petitjean, P., Guilloteau, S., McMahon, R.G., Solomon, P.M., and Pecontal, E. 1996, Nature, 382, 428
Scoville, N.Z., Yun, M.S., Brown, R.L., and vanden Bout, P.A. 1995, ApJ, 449, L109
Shier, L.M., Rieke, M.J., and Rieke, G.H. 1994, ApJ, 433, L9
Solomon, P.M., Downes, D, Radford, S.J.E., and Barrett, J.W. ApJ, 478, 144, 1997
Solomon, P.M., Radford, S.J.E., and Downes, D 1992 Nature 356, 318.
Solomon, P.M., Downes, D., and Radford, S.J.E. 1992b, ApJ, 398, L29
Wills and Hines 1997, in Mass Ejection from Active Galactic Nuclei, ASP Conference Series, Vol. 128, Arav, Shlosman and Weymann, Eds., p. 99
Yun, M.S., Scoville, N.Z., Carrasco, J.J., and Blandford, R.D. 1997, ApJ, 479, L9


16Sept1996
23May1993
6×10⁻¹⁷
4×10⁻¹⁷
2×10⁻¹⁷
2200
2400
2600
2800
3000
3200
(Å)